8 FYSM530 Sähkönjohtavuuden kvanttimekaniikka, Quantum Transport, välikoe I, Midterm I, 1.3.2013

Solve three problems, you have 4 hours of time. The problems are not listed in the order of difficulty! Handouts are allowed. You may also solve all problems. If you do, the grade is determined from the best three.

8.1

The 2D-electron gas is quite often modelled with a so called Fang-Howard wave function:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}, z) = 2\lambda^{3/2} z \exp(-\lambda z) \exp(i\mathbf{k}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{r}) / \sqrt{A}, \qquad (17)$$

where \mathbf{r} is the in-plane coordinate, z the confinement direction (z = 0 is the location of the interface), A the area, and λ a parameter which describes the thickness of the 2D layer. Note that ψ is defined only for $z \ge 0$, for z < 0 it is identically zero. (a) What is the electron density n as a function of z if the 2D (uniform) density is n_s ? Sketch the shape of the function. In the derivation, you may use the assumption that T = 0, if you like. (b) Using the Poisson equation, calculate the electrostatic potential profile V(z) for z > 0, with the boundary conditions $V(\infty) = 0$, $V'(\infty) = 0$. The semiconductor around the 2DEG is undoped. (c) If one has a gate electrode on top of a dielectric of thickness t (i.e. a voltage V_g at z = -t), which is on top of the 2DEG layer, calculate the capacitance per unit area between the gate and the 2DEG. Do *not* assume that the dielectric constants are the same for the gate dielectric and the semiconductor! Explain the meaning of the two terms that you should obtain. Hint: Figure out V(z) everywhere and derive an expression $V_g = f(t, \lambda)$, from which the capacitance follows.

8.2

The energy subbands for a zigzag nanotube are given by

$$E(k_x) = \pm A \sqrt{k_\nu^2 + k_x^2},$$
 (18)

where

$$k_{\nu} = \frac{2\pi}{3b} \left(\frac{3\nu}{2m} - 1\right),\tag{19}$$

and $\nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$ and m is an integer that gives the circumference of the nanotube as 2bm, where 2b is the distance between two carbon atoms perpendicular to the nanotube axis. Negative energies are allowed, as we have simply defined $E_F = 0$. (a) Can you derive a condition for the subband quantum number ν , such that the nanotube is metallic instead of semiconducting? Sketch the the subbands $\nu = 1, 2$ if m = 3.

Calculate the density of states D(E) = dN/dE, and sketch how it looks like for m = 3. How is it different from the quasi-1D case where $E = \hbar^2 k_x^2/(2m^*) + E_{\nu}$?

8.3

The figure below is an experimental plot of the 4-wire longitudinal conductance through a 2D electron gas point-contact sample in a perpendicular magnetic field of 1.4 T (*integer* Quantum Hall regime). Explain the data using the Landauer-Büttiker formalism of Quantum Hall effect. Particularly, explain the plateaus and their *fractionally* quantized conductance values (solid horizontal lines in fig. 1 correspond to values 20, 71/2, 31/3, 11/4.) What do you think is the meaning of the dashed lines? Sketch the behavior of the conductance, if one *increases* the magnetic field B from the value B = 1.4 T, when $V_g = -2$ V. Assume the transitions between plateaus in G take place at exactly half quantized values of the filling factor, e.g. at $\nu = 4.5$ etc. Give also the magnetic field values of the transitions. You may also assume that this sample does not exhibit the fractional QH effect.



Figure 5: Longitudinal conductance G_L as a function of voltage on the point-contact gate.

8.4

Explain briefly:

(a) Why is it hard to integrate ballistic nanowires (1-D conductors) with usual metallic or semiconducting circuits (in terms of electronics not just materials science)?

(b) How does the composite fermion theory explain the fractional quantum Hall effect ?

(c) What is different about the band-structure of single-layer graphene compared with a 2D electron gas in a semiconductor heterostructure ? Can you think of at least two, maybe three reasons why device people are very interested in graphene?

(d) Explain how a semiconductor laser diode works.

(e) Give the two conditions when the Landauer -Büttiker formalism works? How can you extend the formalism if there is both a coherent an an incoherent transmission probability ? Give an expression.

(f) Why do typical heterostructure–based 2D electron systems have better high frequency operation in devices compared to bulk samples?