

Solutions for Demonstration 7

Problem 1. We show that there exists a countable dense subset $(f_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ separating points of $\mathbb{R}^\partial := \mathbb{R} \cup \partial$, where ∂ is a point outside \mathbb{R} and plays a role of the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R} . In the sequel, we consider the supremum norm.

Step 1: For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 1$, denote

$C[-N, N]$: the family of all continuous functions on $[-N, N]$

$P_N(\mathbb{R})$: the family of all polynomials defined on $[-N, N]$ with real coefficients

$P_N(\mathbb{Q})$: the family of all polynomials defined on $[-N, N]$ with rational coefficients.

First, it is straightforward to see that $P_N(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $P_N(\mathbb{R})$. Secondly, the Stone-Weierstrass theorem¹ verifies that $P_N(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C[-N, N]$. Hence $P_N(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $C[-N, N]$.

Step 2: Observe that $P_N(\mathbb{Q})$ is countable. Then

$$P(\mathbb{Q}) := \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} P_N(\mathbb{Q})$$

is countable.

For each polynomial $p_N \in P_N(\mathbb{Q}) \subset P(\mathbb{Q})$, we extend it to \hat{p}_N by (draw a graph)

$$\hat{p}_N(x) := \begin{cases} p_N(x) & \text{if } |x| \leq N \\ p_N(N)(N+1-x) & \text{if } x \in [N, N+1] \\ p_N(-N)(N+1+x) & \text{if } x \in [-N-1, -N] \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > N+1 \end{cases}$$

Then $\hat{p}_N \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $|\hat{p}_N(x)| \leq \max\{|p_N(-N)|, |p_N(N)|\}$ for $|x| \geq N$.

Step 3: Denote by $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ the family of such \hat{p}_N , $p \in P_N(\mathbb{Q})$, $N \geq 1$. Then $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is also countable. We now show that $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $|x| \geq N_\varepsilon$. By *Step 1*, there is a $p_{N_\varepsilon} \in P_{N_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in [-N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon]} |p_{N_\varepsilon}(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, $|p_{N_\varepsilon}(\pm N_\varepsilon)| \leq 2\varepsilon$. For the corresponding extension $\hat{p}_{N_\varepsilon} \in \hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ in *Step 2*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{p}_{N_\varepsilon}(x) - f(x)| &\leq \sup_{|x| \geq N_\varepsilon} |\hat{p}_{N_\varepsilon}(x)| + \sup_{|x| \geq N_\varepsilon} |f(x)| + \sup_{x \in [-N_\varepsilon, N_\varepsilon]} |p_{N_\varepsilon}(x) - f(x)| \\ &\leq \underbrace{\max\{\hat{p}_{N_\varepsilon}(-N_\varepsilon), \hat{p}_{N_\varepsilon}(N_\varepsilon)\}}_{\leq 2\varepsilon} + \varepsilon + \varepsilon \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R})$.

Step 4: Verify that $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ separates points of \mathbb{R}^∂ . Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\partial$, $x \neq y$.

- If $x, y \in [-N, N]$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then we choose $p_N(u) := u$. Then $p_N \in P_N(\mathbb{Q})$ and its extension $\hat{p}_N \in \hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies $|\hat{p}_N(x) - \hat{p}_N(y)| = |p_N(x) - p_N(y)| = |x - y| \neq 0$.
- If $x \in [-N, N]$ and $y = \partial$, then we choose $p_N(u) := u^2 + 1$. Then $p_N \in P_N(\mathbb{Q})$ and its extension $\hat{p}_N \in \hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies $|\hat{p}_N(x) - \hat{p}_N(y)| = |p_N(x) - 0| = x^2 + 1 \neq 0$.

Conclusion: $\hat{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a countable dense subset of $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and separates points of \mathbb{R}^∂ . \square

¹**Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem:** Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ consists of all real-valued continuous function on X . Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a sub-algebra of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ containing constant functions. Then \mathcal{A} is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ w.r.t. the supremum-norm if and only if \mathcal{A} separates points of X .

Problem 2. Let X be a Lévy process and τ an exponentially distributed random variable with parameter $p > 0$, independent from X . Let For $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}f(x + X_\tau) &= \int_{\Omega} f(x + X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega))d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \stackrel{\tau \perp (X_t)}{=} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} f(x + X_{\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega})}(\omega))d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{\omega})d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= p \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} f(x + X_t(\omega)) e^{-pt} dt d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \stackrel{Fubini}{=} p \int_0^{\infty} e^{-pt} T_t f(x) dt = p\mathcal{R}_p f(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\tau}$ is a random variable defined on another probability space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ and $\tilde{\tau} \stackrel{d}{=} \tau$.

Remark that in order to show

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x + X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega))d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \stackrel{\tau \perp (X_t)}{=} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} f(x + X_{\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{\omega})}(\omega))d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\tilde{\omega})d\mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

one can use the fact that $\tau_n \downarrow \tau$, where

$$\tau_n := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^n} \mathbb{1}_{\{\frac{k-1}{2^n} \leq \tau < \frac{k}{2^n}\}},$$

together with the right-continuity of X , and a DCT argument. □